

A national foundation plans to sponsor a public discussion about a proposed constitutional amendment. This amendment would limit the number of consecutive terms a member of Congress may serve. The United States Constitution should be amended to limit the number of consecutive terms a member of Congress may serve.

The Congress is a very complicated place where many problems can occur and some are being acknowledged. A group of people are trying to amend the Constitution to limit the number of terms in which a member of Congress can run. The process of amending the Constitution is a difficult process that can be done two ways. The most commonly used one is the process in which a bill is passed through both houses of legislature, getting a two-thirds vote in each, and then on to the states to be voted on. The bill must get a three-fourths vote from the states to finally get passed. The second process is where a Constitutional Convention is called by two-thirds of the legislatures of the States. Then the Convention proposes the amendments and they are sent to the states to be voted on. The bill(s) must get a three-fourths vote to be passed. Although the process would take a long time, there should be a limit.

There are plenty of reasons to place a limit on the amount of terms a member of Congress can serve. If someone wanted to have a spot in the Congress and all the seats were still filled, then how could they get a seat. They wouldn't be able to force them out of their seat.

Also, if someone wants to stay in office their whole life, there isn't much we can do about it. In the later years of their life, what would happen if they were unable to run and make choices as well as they should? What would happen if they were affected by Alzheimer's or some other disease. It would take a while to remove that person from

Congress and during that time he could have falsely made a decision that could possible affect the nation greatly.

Many people believe that if someone wants to stay in their position in Congress, it is their right. They say that it shows the Core Democratic Values of Pursuit of Happiness and Common Good. The CDVs are important parts of America today, but it is also for the Common Good of everyone else if there is a limit to the amount of terms a member of Congress can serve. Plus, again, if someone wanted to get a spot on Congress, but all the seats were already taken, then they wouldn't be able to get a seat. It is the Pursuit of Happiness of that person to get a spot in Congress. It is stated that it is unconstitutional if someone's actions contradict the Core Democratic Values of another. There are two sides to this statement in this particular case, but for the Common Good of our nation, there should be limits on term service.

There are arguments on both sides of the case on whether or not there should be limits on term service in Congress, but the most reasonable answer would be to make the limits. There are many problems that could occur due to no limits and they don't help anyone. These limits would allow more people to do what they want and it would make sure that there would be no problems to do the age of someone on Congress. Making term service limits are the best choice in this case making it possible for America to follow its rules with the Core Democratic Values.